Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,134	CAMPOS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3766
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kennedy Schaetzle</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas Scholer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 March 2007	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 13 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Cont XI. Layro ACTING SPE		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) Au 3766 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested cancellation of claims 13 and 14 in order to pass the case to issue and avoid further art rejection on claims 13 and 14. The examiner stated that claim 14 was drawn to a species not previously claimed and therefore an election by original presentation would be in effect. The examiner suggested filing a Continuation application if the applicant desired consideration of such subject matter. Attorney agreed to cancel claims 13 and 14 in order to pass the case to issue.